

SYLLABUS FOR ONLINE ENTRANCE EXAMINATION (OEE)
(SESSION 2025)
FOR ADMISSION TO LL.M. (TWO YEAR) – A.Y. 2025-27
DEPARTMENT OF LAW
SAVITRIBAI PHULE PUNE UNIVERSITY, PUNE
TOTAL MARKS OF THE ENTRANCE EXAM: 100

Instructions:

The Question paper shall have 100 MCQ's for 1 Mark each (100 Marks).

The Syllabus for the examination is as follows:

SUBJECTS	MARKS
SECTION A - 20 MARKS	
General Knowledge (Legal & Current affairs e.g. recent important Acts and Case laws), Aptitude, Logic, Comprehension	20 Questions 20 Marks
SECTION B - 80 MARKS	
UNIT - 1 JURISPRUDENCE	
a) Nature and Sources of law	20 Questions 20 Marks
b) Schools of Jurisprudence	
c) Concept of Rights and Duties	
d) Legal personality	
e) Concept of Property, Ownership and Possession	
UNIT - 2 CONSTITUTIONAL LAW	
a) Preamble and Fundamental Rights	20 Questions 20 Marks
b) Directive Principles of State Policy and Fundamental Duties	
c) Emergency Provisions	
d) Elections	
e) Amendment of the Constitution	
UNIT - 3 LAW OF CRIMES	
a) General principles of criminal liability	20 Questions 20 Marks
b) Stages of crime and inchoate crime	
c) General exceptions	
d) Offences against human body	
e) Offences against property	

UNIT - 4 LAW OF CONTRACTS	
a) Essential Elements of Contract and E-Contract	20 Questions 20 Marks
b) Breach of Contract, Frustration of Contract, Void and Voidable Agreements.	
c) Standard form of Contract and Quasi-Contract.	
d) Special Contract – Bailment, Pledge, Indemnity, Guarantee and Agency	

Sample Questions

Q.1. Recently, in *Sita Soren v. State of Jharkhand*, the Supreme Court overturned its previous ruling in the *PV Narasimha Rao Case*. What did the Supreme Court held in *Sita Soren's Case*?

- a. Receiving Bribery in contemplation of a vote or speech is protected by parliamentary privileges guaranteed under the Constitution.
- b. Receiving Bribery in contemplation of a vote or speech is not protected by parliamentary privileges guaranteed under the Constitution.
- c. A member of parliament or the state legislature cannot claim immunity from prosecution on a charge of bribery.
- d. Both (b) and (c)

Q.2. Who has analysed concept of rights in terms of jural correlatives and jural opposites ?

- (A) Salmond
- (B) Dicey
- (C) Hohfeld
- (D) Holmes

HEAD

DEPARTMENT OF LAW